UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: AQUEOUS FILM-FORMING FOAMS PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2873

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO –121)

On December 7, 2018, the Panel transferred 75 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 357 F.Supp.3d 1391 (J.P.M.L. 2018). Since that time, 312 additional action(s) have been transferred to the District of South Carolina. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Richard M. Gergel.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the District of South Carolina and assigned to Judge Gergel.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the District of South Carolina for the reasons stated in the order of December 7, 2018, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Richard M. Gergel.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7–day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Mar 13, 2023

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

John W. Nichols Clerk of the Panel

TOF SOUTH CARD

A TRUE COPY ATTEST: ROBIN L. BLUME, CLERK

v: Dandra J. Shealy

IN RE: AQUEOUS FILM–FORMING FOAMS PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2873

SCHEDULE CTO-121 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

DIST	DIV.	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	CASE CAPTION
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN			
CAN	3	23-00738	East Bay Municipal Utility District v. 3M COMPANY f/k/a MINNESOTA MINING et al
NEW JERSEY			
NJ	2	23-01097	BRANCHVILLE BOROUGH v. 3M COMPANY et al
NEW YORK SOUTHERN			
NYS NYS	1 1	23–01625 23–01642	Cotcher et al v. 3M Company et al Howard et al v. 3M Company et al